



# The 2024 regulatory environment for financial institutions

Dennis Hild



Financial institutions have seen increased regulatory attention and scrutiny across several key domains in 2024.

We expect the regulatory environment for financial institutions in the U.S. to remain challenging through the remainder of 2024. Bank executives and directors should pay close attention to several critical issues that could shape the regulatory environment and supervisory priorities through the remainder of the year.

While economic uncertainty, evolving technology, and mounting competitive pressures add complexity, adopting a proactive stance and sharpened focus on a few select areas can help organizations meet rising supervisory expectations. Anticipating developments in several critical areas can help banks stay ahead of examination priorities, identify potential gaps, and demonstrate a commitment to sound risk management.

# Several areas where financial institution regulators are expected to have refined supervisory focus

The failures of several larger banks in 2023 pushed regulators to propose several rules that, if finalized, would apply to larger financial institutions, primarily those with more than \$100 billion in assets. At the same time, federal financial institution regulators also issued final guidance and proposals in October 2023 for other areas that will affect risk management and compliance efforts at organizations of all sizes. Regulators are expected to continue ramping up supervisory activities through 2024 around liquidity, third-party risk, anti-money laundering (AML), cybersecurity, and operational resilience.

## Liquidity risk management and interest rate risk

Financial institutions find themselves navigating renewed challenges, particularly with liquidity risk and interest rate risk management. Competitive forces continue to press increased deposit rates amid sluggish loan growth that is keeping margins razor thin at most institutions.

In July 2023, the federal financial institution regulators issued an [addendum](#) to the longstanding "Interagency Policy Statement on Funding and Liquidity Risk Management." The 2023 statement places a significant emphasis on contingency funding plans.

In 2024 examinations, examiners continue to closely monitor financial institutions' approaches to fortifying their liquidity positions and managing exposure to interest rate risk. In

accordance with the 2023 guidance, organizations should be testing and confirming access to contingency funding sources as part of their broader liquidity risk management strategy.

## Greater focus is directed to the effectiveness of risk mitigation measures.

The current environment has enhanced the importance of asset-liability committees and risk committees in the reporting and monitoring of liquidity risk management strategies. Regulatory scrutiny has intensified in recent examinations, as indicated through some recent enforcement actions in which liquidity and asset-liability management are receiving heightened attention to confirm the effectiveness of risk mitigation measures. Further evidence of this focus on liquidity risk management has also been observed in remarks presented in recent regulatory speeches by agency officials.

## Third-party risk management

As financial institutions navigate the increasingly complex regulatory landscape, the spotlight remains firmly on third-party risk management. Financial institution regulators continue to refine their focus, driven by updated guidance that raises the bar for organizations to maintain robust and

mature risk management programs throughout the entire life cycle of third-party relationships.

- **Historical focus and banking regulatory milestones.** Third-party risk management has been an emerging focus for regulators since the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) and the Federal Reserve (Fed) issued separate guidance in 2013.
- **Evolving expectations and continued challenges.** Regulators are particularly focusing on fintech partnerships and banking-as-a-service relationships.
- **Key governance roles outlined.** The [updated 2023 interagency guidance](#) that replaces the previous Fed and OCC guidance delineates crucial governance roles, necessitating an assessment of whether third-party relationships align with the banking organization's strategic goals and risk appetite in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Bank regulators issued a related [joint statement](#) in July 2024 reminding banks of potential risks related to third-party relationships to deliver bank deposit products.





## BSA and AML

In the current financial institution regulatory landscape, the refined focus on *Bank Secrecy Act* (BSA) and AML compliance efforts unveils an environment shaped by ongoing investigations and heightened regulatory scrutiny.

The growing sophistication of financial crimes and money laundering schemes requires a proactive stance by financial institutions of all sizes to combat emerging threats. This requires financial institutions to continually enhance their systems and programs to fortify their defenses, recognizing the imperative of staying ahead in the battle against illicit activities.

As technology advances, the integration of AI into financial systems makes compliance programs even more challenging. Regulators are closely monitoring the impact of AI on the AML landscape, acknowledging the benefits of innovation coupled with the required risk management.

In navigating BSA and AML compliance, the convergence of technological advancements and

regulatory updates underscores the strategic imperative for financial institutions. Staying ahead is not only a regulatory compliance requirement but a proactive risk management measure to safeguard the integrity of an organization and the broader financial system in the face of evolving threats.

## Cybersecurity

Cybersecurity remains a critical joint effort among the agencies, as underscored by more than a decade of collaboration through the formation of the Cybersecurity and Critical Infrastructure Working Group by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC).

Even as financial institutions continually refine their capacity to allocate resources for preventing cyberattacks and bolster readiness to respond when such incidents occur, the evolving complexity of cybersecurity risk requires a nuanced understanding of each institution's threat response capabilities.

While attention has traditionally focused on preventive controls, there is a growing emphasis on

recovery capabilities and broader cyber resilience measures. In June 2023, the OCC updated its Cybersecurity Supervision Work Program, highlighting the inadequacy of single-factor authentication and directing attention toward systems configurations, patch management, and incident response.

A cyber resilient organization, as outlined by the OCC, demonstrates the ability to adapt to both known and unknown crises, threats, adversities, and challenges. The update also covers guidance on the requirement for notification to the primary regulator and emphasizes transparency and communication in the event of a security incident.

**Cyber resilient organizations adapt to known and unknown adversities and challenges.**

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corp.'s (FDIC's) "[2024 Report on Cybersecurity and Resilience](#)" also provided a reminder for banks on the vigilance and agility needed to combat malicious threat actors.

## Operational resilience

Operational resilience and sustainability are growing areas of focus in the supervisory process, signaling a shift toward heightened attention on board oversight and strategic planning. Boards likely will be under increased attention to

ensure institutions are setting the right tone at the top, adhering to risk tolerances, and providing credible challenges to management.

While the 2020 "[Interagency Paper on Sound Practices to Strengthen Operational Resilience](#)" has been in effect for larger banks (exceeding \$250 billion in assets), there has been a noteworthy expansion of discussions on operational resilience to include organizations well under that threshold. Regulators are engaging in conversations that incorporate elements of business continuity management,

reflecting an industrywide effort to fortify operational frameworks and response capabilities.

In addition, the FDIC issued [proposed enhanced corporate governance standards](#) in October 2023 that, if finalized, would substantially raise the bar for FDIC-regulated banks with more than \$10 billion in assets.

# Artificial intelligence

AI is an emerging focus in the financial institution regulatory landscape, and it likely will gain more attention from regulators through the rest of 2024. Three key recent developments include:

- **Insight on AI and bank supervision.** A noteworthy contribution to the dialogue on AI in banking comes from the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, whose [article](#) sheds light on the evolving relationship between AI technologies and the supervisory processes within financial services organizations.
- **CFPB guidance on AI in credit denials.** The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) issued [guidance](#) in September 2023 specifically addressing the use of AI in credit denials. This guidance offers clarity and regulatory direction for employing AI algorithms in credit-related decisions.

- **Executive order on AI standards.**

In October 2023, an [executive order \(EO\)](#) directed agencies to review and establish new standards for AI safety, security, and privacy. As a result of the EO, the U.S. Department of the Treasury produced a [report](#) in March 2024 on managing AI and cybersecurity risks in the financial sector and, subsequently, a [related request for information](#) in June 2024 soliciting comment on the uses, opportunities, and risks of AI in financial services.

As financial institution regulators continue to refine expectations regarding use of AI in banking, it is important that banks manage AI use in a safe, sound, and fair manner in accordance with existing supervisory guidance.

Financial institutions **must strike a balance** between innovating and staying safe and sound.

# Large banks: Basel III endgame, long-term debt, and resolution plan proposals

As previously mentioned, a few comprehensive regulatory proposals from 2023, if finalized, would impact financial institutions with more than \$100 billion in assets. The federal banking agencies issued a [proposal in July 2023](#) that would substantially revise regulatory capital standards for banks of this size, and essentially serve as the Basel III endgame in the U.S. This proposal has garnered significant discussion among regulators and legislators, with a revised proposal likely to be re-issued for an additional public comment period before the end of 2024.

In August 2023, the agencies issued [proposed long-term debt requirements](#) that would also apply to banks with more than \$100 billion in assets. Lastly, the FDIC board approved a final rule in June 2024 to finalize amended [resolution plan requirements](#) that largely apply to banks with more than \$100 billion in assets but also extend significant information reporting requirements related to resolution plans to institutions between \$50 billion and \$100 billion in assets.

We expect supervisory attention and activities for larger institutions to continue to ramp up through the remainder of 2024 and into 2025.

## Consumer compliance

Outside of the safety and soundness spectrum, several key developments are emerging in the banking regulatory environment of consumer compliance.

After a lengthy stay awaiting rulings from the Supreme Court and other legal challenges, the CFPB issued a [final interim rule](#) in June 2024 to

extend the compliance dates for the small business lending data collection, which is required under Section 1071 of the *Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act*. The extended, phased-in compliance deadlines begin in July 2025 for entities with the highest volume of small business loans to begin collecting required data.

In addition, the CFPB issued the comprehensive October 2023 proposal on Section 1033, which introduces open banking considerations, reflecting a broader shift toward enhancing consumer control over their financial data.

This proposal navigates the delicate balance between innovation and consumer protection, presenting financial institutions with new considerations in their compliance strategies.

Lastly, long-awaited *Community Reinvestment Act modernization rules* were issued by the Fed, OCC, and FDIC in October 2023, with initial reporting requirements currently [slated to begin](#) in 2026.

The interplay of regulatory developments stresses the **value of adaptability and proactive engagement.**









## Explore banking regulatory guidance

Want to stay ahead of upcoming banking regulatory issues?  
Let us know. We'd be happy to chat.

Dennis Hild  
Principal, National Office  
+1 202 552 8086  
[dennis.hild@crowe.com](mailto:dennis.hild@crowe.com)

**crowe.com**

"Crowe" is the brand name under which the member firms of Crowe Global operate and provide professional services, and those firms together form the Crowe Global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. "Crowe" may be used to refer to individual firms, to several such firms, or to all firms within the Crowe Global network. Crowe Cayman Ltd., Crowe Horwath IT Services LLP, and ITR Economics LLC are subsidiaries of Crowe LLP. Crowe LLP is an Indiana limited liability partnership and the U.S. member firm of Crowe Global. Services to clients are provided by the individual member firms of Crowe Global, but Crowe Global itself is a Swiss entity that does not provide services to clients. Each member firm is a separate legal entity responsible only for its own acts and omissions and not those of any other Crowe Global network firm or other party. Visit [www.crowe.com/disclosure](http://www.crowe.com/disclosure) for more information about Crowe LLP, its subsidiaries, and Crowe Global.

The information in this document is not – and is not intended to be – audit, tax, accounting, advisory, risk, performance, consulting, business, financial, investment, legal, or other professional advice, and should not be relied upon as such. Some firm services may not be available to attest clients. The information is general in nature, based on existing authorities, and is subject to change. The information is not a substitute for professional advice or services, and you should consult a qualified professional adviser before taking any action based on the information. Crowe is not responsible for any loss incurred by any person who relies on the information discussed in this document.  
© 2024 Crowe LLP.

CFS2402-002AQ